1	MR. LYNCH: February, I believe, of 1990.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: February of 1990.
3	MR. LYNCH: What I have together on that is,
4	I still have the file with original notes that I put
5	down on that.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, do you have a copy of
7	the flier of what the conference was about?
8	MR. LYNCH: What I have is the notes. There
9	was a packet of information and, and as they went
10	through item, by item, I made a number of
11	different, you know, notations on the whole thing. And
12	some of them I brought back.
13	One, for example, is the checklist of all
14	things to have a legal public file, that I gave to my
15	receptionist. She wrote in her own handwriting, "Yes,
16	it's on file. Yes, it's on file."
17	I will submit that paperwork. Plus, it was
18	at the Syracuse Marriott Hotel, and there are some
19	notes I made their on some notepaper with their, you
20	know, name on it, to prove that I was there.
21	And last, but not least, I took some pictures
22	yesterday of a fence that I put around my AM
23	transmitter out back to the radio station. What I
24	found through that conference was that, unbeknownst to
25	me, we were, like, two feet too short, or two or three

1	feet too close to the base of the antenna for AM size
2	standards.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: How many pages are you talking
4	about?
5	MR. LYNCH: It might be a total of ten in the
6	entire exhibit.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, all right. That will be
8	your exhibit. We'll take a look at it.
9	Item seven, we've already talked about those
10	letters, right? I mean you've given the distinction to
11	us about letters. I take it that that ties in again
12	with what you talked about in paragraph three.
13	MR. LYNCH: Yes, Your Honor. It's a totally
14	separate exhibit. It stands alone.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And you know what
16	Mr. Tillotson's position is on that.
17	MR. LYNCH: (No response.)
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you understand?
19	MR. LYNCH: I'm sorry. A truck just went by
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you understand what Mr.
21	Tillotson's position is going to be with respect to
22	your using letters?
23	MR. LYNCH: Not fully, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let me paraphrase it,
25	and let him say whether or not he adopts my

1	recollection or not. Mr. Tillotson is saying that he's
2	going to object strenuously to my receiving letters
3	into evidence without their being some representative
4	sampling of persons who have written those letters, or
5	of persons who know about matters that are in those
6	letters, who will come and testify, and say, yes, those
7	substantially are true and accurate, to the best of my
8	knowledge and information.
9	MR. LYNCH: For every single one of the
10	letters?
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. He didn't say that, for
L2	every single one.
13	MR. LYNCH: A representative number of
L4	people.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. A representative number.
16	And that's always, you know, that's arguable. He's
17	talking about certainly more than one, and something
18	less than ten. I think he used the number five, four
19	or five, something like that.
20	MR. LYNCH: I will have between 12 and 18
21	sworn statements.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. That's not the same
23	thing. I'm talking about live people who will be here
24	in the courtroom, who will get on the stand, and who
25	will testify without equivocation.

1	MR. LYNCH: Okay. I would then move that the
2	site of the trial for that portion of it, given that
3	I've got mayors, Congressmen, and supervisors, be moved
4	to a site in Glens Falls.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you'll have to file a
6	motion with the chief judge for that. I don't have a
7	jurisdiction over that issue at all. But I can tell
8	you this, that the case has been set to be tried here
9	in Washington, D.C. I would expect that, based on past
10	experience with the Des Moines renewal case that I'm
11	trying, that your request will be denied. And I
12	believe that the Bureau will probably oppose it in this
13	process.
14	There are budgetary considerations in this
15	agency as well. And I add very quickly, we're not
16	requiring that you bring or Mr. Tillotson this is
17	not my requirement Mr. Tillotson is not insisting
18	upon a witness for each letter.
19	All he's saying is some representative
20	sampling from the community, so that he's satisfied
21	that this is not something, that these letters are not
22	just some kind of a put up deal to accommodate you.
23	That's where we are now.
24	Now, where I'm going to come out on this, I
25	don't know. I'll have to see the letters. I'll have

1	to hear argument. Maybe some I'll take, some I won't.
2	Maybe I won't take any. I don't know yet. I want to
3	hear what the Bureau has to say, obviously, with
4	respect to the use of that evidence.
5	I don't mean to be monopolizing this
6	microphone here. Does the Bureau do you want to
7	interject anything at this time, Ms. Laden?
8	MS. LADEN: Not at this point. I do have
9	something that I want to talk about. But I'll wait.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Thank you. Thank you.
11	I want to be sure everybody gets heard, but I don't
12	want to take up peoples' time that we don't need to
13	take up.
14	All right. Well, that's the law of the case
15	As I said, if you're going to seek to have any of this
16	aspect of this case moved to Glens Falls, it's out of
17	jurisdiction. You're going to have to file a motion
18	with the chief judge, the chief judge, who is Joseph
19	Stirmer, S-t-i-r-m-e-r.
20	But as I say, you're pretty late in the case
21	to be doing that. And based on a prior case, in which
22	there was a strenuous effort to have portions of the
23	trial transferred to Des Moines, Iowa, that was turned
24	down.
25	Now, the last item of business on your

1 proffer is the literally thousands of pages on file 2 with regards to Skidelsky. Now, I'll tell you right here, just based on reading, that there are not going 3 to be thousands of pages of Skidelsky being received 4 into evidence in this case. In fact, I won't even have 5 them marked as exhibits in this case. 6 7 So having said that, what more can you tell me about what you intend to do with paragraph eight 8 material? 9 MR. LYNCH: As I understand it, to lose my 10 license I would have to do intentionally something 11 12 wrong. And, again, as to motive, and in any of these 13 things, that there's yet to be one word as far as my motive in either botching up a site, or, you know, why 14 I would put a threshold together for the sheer purpose 15 of lying, or why I withhold one document out of 16 17 hundreds. There's -- you know, there is no motive. 18 There couldn't conceivably be a motive. Secondarily, intent to do something that 19 20 would cause me to lose my license, I would have to be 21 aware of what I was doing. I would have to be 22 It would have to be something where I intended to deceive the Commission. And, again, 23 nowhere in hundreds or thousands of pages is there one 24

iota of evidence that anything at all that I ever did,

25

1	you know, had any intent on it.
2	There were clerical errors or
3	misunderstandings of FCC rules perhaps, or whatever.
4	But in no way, shape, or form has anybody ever
5	intimidated that I intended to deceive the Commission.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I hear what you're
7	saying, but let me tell you what the rule of this case
8	is going to be. You can raise that point as a matter
9	of law on any appeal. You can make that argument as a
10	matter of law in connection with your closing arguments
11	in this case, or your opening arguments in this case,
12	when we actually go to hearing in September.
13	But there's not going to be any evidence
14	received with respect to the Skidelsky findings, the
15	litigation of that case, or the findings of that case.
16	That's what the whole principle of collateral estoppel
17	is about.
18	Now, if you can convince some reviewing
19	authority that there was clear and blatant error with
20	respect to how that collateral estoppel principle was
21	applied to you, for the reasons that you're stating
22	here and now, if you can convince some reviewing
23	authority that you're right, and I'm wrong, then fine,
24	you do that.
25	But as far as how this case is going to be

1	managed and how it's going to be handled, it is not
2	going to go into Skidelsky evidence. So anything that
3	you are going to do with respect to paragraph eight,
4	you can make a proffer of it. I think you understand
5	now what the principle of the proffer is.
6	I mean you can make a written or an oral
7	representation that if you were given the opportunity
8	to do it, you would show "A", "B", and "C" with respect
9	to intent, malice, or whatever you want to say of Mr.
10	Skidelsky, by virtue of, and then you describe the
11	kinds of evidence that you would look to.
12	And that will be in the record, and it will
13	go up with this case on appeal. So my point is, do not
14	spend a lot of time trying to put together a Skidelsky
15	record.
16	If you want to make this proffer, you can
17	make that proffer in writing by July 24th. If the
18	Bureau or Mr. Tillotson wishes to respond to it, they
19	can respond by July 31st. And I will rule on your
20	proffer formally on the record on August 4th.
21	You have that option. I will get that out in
22	the form of an order this afternoon or tomorrow.
23	Hopefully, it will be clear enough so that you
24	understand exactly what I'm saying.
25	But I want you to go away from this

1	conversation, or this discussion, with the general
2	understanding that I will not accept any evidence that
3	attempts to attack what the findings of Judge Coleman
4	were in the Skidelsky case.
5	MR. LYNCH: I would not try to attack Judge
6	Coleman's findings, but to exculpate myself, I have to
7	be able to refer to them.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you can as I said,
9	you can make that argument. You can make that
10	argument. But I would suggest that you keep that
11	argument very brief.
.2	MR. LYNCH: I already made that argument
L3	responding to the responses to my proffer of
L 4	evidence. And the argument stands. I can't understand
L5	how it can they invite me to exculpate myself. As
L6	far as I can understand exculpatory evidence, I would
L7	have to refer to the findings, at least from Judge
L8	Coleman's findings.
L9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Again, I'm trying to go all
20	the way down the road with you on this intellectually,
21	but I'm saying that, yes, if you there are certain
22	items of evidence that would be assume that there
23	will be certain items of evidence that is in your
24	proffer, okay, up to paragraph seven.
25	Assume, for purposes of this discussion, that

some or much of that evidence is received, and it's
received by me on the basis of it being exculpatory in
the broad sense of that term, whether it's mitigating,
but in other words, it will be received in that
category.

You certainly then can take that evidence, and you can argue that evidence in terms of the findings of Skidelsky, that would be, for example, in Judge Coleman's opinion. And you could say that that finding in Judge Coleman's opinion, or Judge Coleman's specific finding in that should be taken — in looking at that I should also take into account this "exculpatory evidence that came into evidence in this case."

But that's a whole different -- I don't -- that's a whole different procedure and intellectual exercise than what I think you're suggesting in your paragraph eight, which involves literally thousands of pages.

MR. LYNCH: I would only -- in my mind I was going to reference thousands of pages. And nobody, Mr. Tillotson included, can sit here and say, this is, you know, evidence that he intended to deceive the Commission, because there is no evidence. Because I didn't.

1	I would reference the thousands of pages. I
2	don't know if he's willing to stipulate those two
3	points. That could save us all a lot of time.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: The points being what? I mean
5	I can't believe he's going to stipulate to stipulating
6	the case out. What are you talking about stipulating?
7	What issue are you talking about stipulating? What
8	ultimate fact or point are you trying to stipulate to?
9	MR. LYNCH: The ultimate fact is, one,
LO	there's no evidence whatsoever anywhere that I
11	intentionally tried to deceive the FCC at any juncture
12	in this whole thing.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Tillotson?
14	MR. LYNCH: That would be one stipulation.
15	And secondarily, that at no point in this time would
16	there have been any motive for me to try to do this, as
17	the leading candidate through the superior integration
18	and experience.
19	And my motivation honestly was only to be as
20	dead accurate as humanly possible, and to get the
21	facility that we were looking to gain in Skidelsky, et
22	al., but there's no evidence whatsoever as far as any
23	motive in this entire thing either.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Tillotson?
25	MR. TILLOTSON: I think that Judge Coleman's
	ALDIMAT WILL DEPONDENCE THE

1	decision speaks for itself, Your Honor. I'd argue the
2	legal points at the Review Board.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's where we are.
4	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, could I address that
5	point, also?
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, Ms. Laden
7	MS. LADEN: In our comments on Brandt's
8	motion for a summary decision, we made it clear, our
9	analysis of the Ocean Pines case, which was cited by
10	the Review Board, when it set forth the scope of this
11	issue here, in Ocean Pines the only exculpatory
12	evidence that was allowed was additional exculpatory
13	evidence that had not been presented in the previous
14	case.
15	It's not exculpatory evidence that was part
16	of the previous case or could have been presented in
17	the previous case. We would object to that kind of
18	evidence.
19	Mr. Lynch should have the opportunity to come
20	in with any additional evidence that may have surfaced
21	since then, new evidence, and evidence of mitigation.
22	But our position has been, and will continue to be, and
23	we'll object to any evidence whatsoever, or any
24	reference to Skidelsky on the findings of fact.
25	The findings of fact made by Judge Coleman in

1	Skidelsky are final. They are set. They cannot be
2	overturned, except on appeal of this cases, as the
3	Review Board has made very clear.
4	The only issue here is the effect of those
5	findings, which are set on Mr. Lynch's qualifications
6	here, merely the effect. And we will object to any
7	attempt to bring in any evidence, however indirectly,
8	or calling it exculpatory, or talking about motive. I
9	think that this is exactly what the Review Board wanted
10	to prevent in this case.
11	MR. LYNCH: I believe they specifically asked
12	for exculpatory and/or mitigating evidence. And,
13	again, you cannot have exculpatory evidence without
14	referring to what lays on guilt in the first place.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, as I said, I hear
16	exactly what Ms. Laden is saying. I don't disagree
17	with anything that she said. I'm trying to make this
18	as clear as I can to you and to everybody here on the
19	record, that there is no way that I am going to
20	consider evidence that is designed to attack or to
21	upset the findings that were made by Judge Coleman in
22	Skidelsky. That is what collateral estoppel is all
23	about. That is written in granite.
24	What you're being permitted to do is to, and
25	I think Ms. Laden has laid it out very succinctly, that

1	you can bring in additional exculpatory evidence that
2	is evidence which was not considered in the Skidelsky
3	case, which would be evidence to show whatever
4	inferences of your character and what not that could be
5	drawn, your capabilities, your intentions with respect
6	to how you are going to handle yourself, or handling
7	yourself as a broadcaster, and whether or not, weighing
8	that, in conjunction with the findings in Skidelsky,
9	what should be the disposition of your renewal
10	application in this case.
11	But what you're saying in paragraph eight is
12	you're talking about literally thousands of pages of a
13	file with regards to Skidelsky, which means to me that
14	you're talking about going back into the Skidelsky
15	record, and trying to disprove intent, or whatever
16	you're outlining here, motive, with respect to those
17	Skidelsky findings.
18	Now, if that's what you have in mind, and I'm
19	assuming that that's what you're trying to tell me you
20	do have in mind, that's not going to be permitted. I'm
21	not going to take any evidence on that.
22	MR. LYNCH: Perhaps if I changed my paragraph
23	eight to what I am saying right now that I would put
24	in very simply would be, you know, forgetting the
25	thousands of pages, basically looking at Judge

1	Coleman's decision, initial decision, I do not believe
2	he addressed motive in any way, shape, or form. I do
3	not believe he addressed deliberacy in any way, shape,
4	or form. If I narrowed my number eight to focus on
5	those two points
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, if you want to argue the
7	law, as I say, your legal arguments, in terms of
8	preserving the record, with respect to how Skidelsky
9	should be viewed by me, you know, you can do that in
10	your findings, proposed findings. But really what
11	you're doing is presenting that for purposes of review
12	to the Review Board.
13	MR. LYNCH: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear that
14	last part, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: I said that you're
16	articulating that that articulating your legal
17	theories with respect to how the findings of Skidelsky
18	should be applied in this case can certainly be made in
19	your proposed findings, and would go up with the record
20	to the Review Board. And the Review Board would be the
21	ultimate decider as to whether or not the principles
22	are being applied properly.
23	But I'm telling you in terms of how I'm going
24	to manage this case, because I'm not going to sit here
25	and have thousands of pages marked as exhibits even

1	proffered into this record. I'm not going to permit
2	that to happen.
3	MR. LYNCH: Okay.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: What I'm saying I will let you
5	do, if you want to make a written proffer with respect
6	to what you have in mind, and I will let you do that by
7	July 24th, because we're talking again, what we're
8	doing here is we're talking about a concept which
9	is I think it is well pinned down in other in
10	what the Review Board has written, but I'm going to
11	give you an opportunity to focus specifically on it, in
12	terms of you can show by way of a proffer what it is
13	that you would show if you were permitted to show on
14	how Skidelsky should impact you in this case.
15	MR. LYNCH: I would propose, to simplify
16	things, to eliminate paragraph number eight in my
17	proffer. And I will reply by July 24th as you just told
18	me.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you're not obligated to
20	do that.
21	MR. LYNCH: Oh, no. I very much want to.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: I simply say that
23	MR. LYNCH: It's a very interesting decision,
24	and
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you can make what I'm
	CAPITAL HILL REPORTING, INC. (202) 466-9500

1 permitting you to do is to make your record. 2 what I'm permitting you to do. So that if you, or if you're assisted by counsel, go up to the Review Board, 3 4 you can say, "Well, here's what I told Judge Sippel I was going to do, and he said I couldn't do it. So he's 5 6 wrong." 7 I'm paraphrasing, but I'm saying that that's what the benefit of that opportunity would be to you. 8 If you do file by July 24th, Mr. Tillotson, the Bureau 9 would have an opportunity to comment or to oppose by 10 July 31st. And I will rule on the record on August 11 12 4th. Your Honor, my silence on 13 MR. TILLOTSON: whatever he proffers should not be considered consent. 14 15 I'm going to be on vacation, and I'm not going to ask for additional time, because I think your rulings and 16 17 your discussion of the issue has really covered the 18 legal aspects. So I will not be filing any comments. 19 I'm going to be out of town. 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 21 MS. LADEN: Your Honor, we will be here. 22 I don't think we'll be filing any comments. has the right to make his proffer. We will make our 23 24 objections to any evidence that exceeds the scope of the Review Board's decision --

> CAPITAL HILL REPORTING, INC. (202) 466-9500

25

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
2	MS. LADEN: at the time of the admission
3	session.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. That's fine. But
5	this helps me, because I am obviously my greatest
6	difficulty, Mr. Lynch, is in dealing with you as a lay
7	person, because I have difficulty understanding in my
8	mind, as we're going down these point by point by point
9	exactly where these issues are in your own mind. And
10	by putting this in an proffer form, I will better
11	understand how these concepts are set in your own mind
12	vis-a-vis the evidence in this case and what we have to
13	do to get this case to trial.
14	So there you have the option. You can file
15	or not file, but if you do file, file by July 24th.
16	And you're not going to get any opposition or comments.
17	So look at it that way.
18	MR. LYNCH: That's refreshing, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. But there will be
20	a lot of argument on it perhaps on August 4th.
21	MR. LYNCH: I appreciate that, Your Honor.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: The other item I have on my
23	agenda is discovery. I've already covered that with
24	you. I am granting the request for an extension of the
25	discovery period, that was filed by Mr. Brandt, up

1	until July 27th.
2	There are items three and four that we
3	discussed previously at some length, that are going to
4	be taken care of as we've discussed. You're going to
5	get documents to Mr. Tillotson in the next few days.
6	Does anybody else have anything more that
7	they want to talk about?
8	MS. LADEN: I do, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Ms. Laden?
10	MS. LADEN: First of all I wanted to get a
11	fax number from Mr. Lynch. Also I wanted to we
12	opposed, as you know, the motion for extension of time.
13	What happened was that Mr. Lynch's extension was
14	granted, and the time for the Bureau and Brandt to do
15	its notification and prepare for the hearing was
16	shortened. It's very difficult for us to go through
17	all the exhibits and prepare a notification in the
18	short period of time that we had.
19	What happens most often with this short of
20	period is that we have to notice all the witnesses,
21	without any real analysis of the exhibits, and it just
22	complicates things unnecessarily.
23	But having said that, there's nothing we can
24	do at that point. But I would like to have a fax
25	number so that we could fax our witness notification to

1	Mr. Lunch, and also, Your Honor, I think you made that
2	clear earlier, I wanted Your Honor to know that we will
3	object to any exhibits that we have not received by the
4	16th in hand.
5	We will object to the introduction of any
6	such exhibits, because there simply is not enough time
7	for us to be to allow any slippage at this point.
8	We simply can't do it, because we don't have enough
9	time to go through them.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. That's clear
11	enough. Do you have a fax number? Let's get the fax
12	number fast.
13	MR. LYNCH: Okay. My fax number is
14	518-792-3374.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And the second
16	comment with respect to giving you that extension, the
17	one-week extension, I moved it from July 9th to July
18	16th, and the notification is July 21st.
19	MS. LADEN: Yes, Your Honor. There's an
20	intervening weekend. I think it's only one or two
21	business days, in fact.
22	MR. TILLOTSON: Is there any reason why we
23	couldn't extend that, at least to say the end of the
24	next week, the 24th?
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't have any

1	MR. TILLOTSON: Because I think it certainly
2	would make it a little easier for everyone.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: That cuts down on the
4	opposition time, but I have no problem with doing that.
5	I have no problem with doing that. Would that help
6	would that be of assistance to the Bureau?
7	MS. LADEN: Yes. That would help. But as
8	Your Honor pointed out, it cuts down on the opposition
9	time for the licensee.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we can move that one
11	day, to the 28th. Is that better than it is now?
12	MS. LADEN: To have the notification on the
13	24th?
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Then the 28th for oppositions.
15	MS. LADEN: That's much better than it is
16	now.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Do you hear me, Mr.
18	Lynch?
19	MR. LYNCH: Vaguely. I'm sorry. What's on
20	the 24th versus the 28th?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
22	MR. LYNCH: Notification of witnesses, right?
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right. That's right.
24	The first date we're going to change is the July 21st
25	date. That's for the notification of witnesses for

1	cross examination. I'm changing that to the 24th.
2	Okay?
3	MR. LYNCH: And my reply date to the 28th, I
4	believe.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's going to be the 28th.
6	That's correct.
7	MR. LYNCH: Okay. Understood. I have no
8	objection to that.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Then that's done.
10	That's done. Okay. Those were two points that Ms.
11	Laden made. Was there a third point? Did I cut you
12	off?
13	MS. LADEN: I just had one more thing. I
14	wanted everyone to know that the Bureau does not have
15	any exhibits. So we will not be exchanging any
16	exhibits.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So it's between
18	you, and Mr. Tillotson, and Air Express, or whatever it
19	is that you all use. And, again, I want to be sure
20	that you understand what my situation is. The critical
21	exchange is with respect to getting your documents on
22	Thursday to the Bureau and to Mr. Tillotson on the
23	time
24	MR. LYNCH: It will be done.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: that I've indicated. You

1	can get
2	MR. LYNCH: Okay. But paragraph eight will
3	be done easily by the 24th or well before that.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, wait a minute. What
5	about the 24th? I'm talking about July 16th.
6	MR. LYNCH: I'm sorry. The written proffer
7	you gave me until the 21st
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
9	MR. LYNCH: referencing the initial
10	decision of Judge Coleman.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's that. But I'm talking
12	about the important thing is this week. This week
13	is a very critical week for you and for everybody else
14	in this case.
15	MR. LYNCH: These documents will be there by
16	the end of the 16th.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Did I set a time
18	on that? Did I set any time during the day?
19	MR. LYNCH: You didn't, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Because there were two orders.
21	I believe there was a
22	MR. LYNCH: The order released July 6th,
23	there was no time, "Exchanging documentary exhibits for
24	sworn testimony is extended for all parties to July 16,
25	1992."

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. But I did have a but
2	I might have a time in the earlier order, the earlier -
3	- because it should have tied in with an earlier
4	ruling. Okay. Just a second. "The exchange of all
5	documentary exhibits by 4:00 p.m."
6	I'm looking at 92M-381.
7	MR. LYNCH: Pardon?
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm looking at my order 92M-
9	381. All right? That was released on March 26th.
10	That's where I set the date for July 9th. On the July
11	9th date I had a 4:00 p.m. delivery time during the
12	day. So I'll stay with the 4:00 p.m. time, unless you
13	all want to agree to a later time.
14	MR. LYNCH: I should be sending my exhibits
15	out tomorrow, Federal Express afternoon delivery. So
16	there should be no problem whatsoever.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I'm going to stay
18	then as far as I'm concerned, as far as my order is
19	concerned, you're obligated to deliver those documents,
20	document exchange documents, by 4:00 p.m. on this
21	Thursday, July 16th.
22	MR. TILLOTSON: May I make a suggestion?
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you may.
24	MR. TILLOTSON: I don't know what the Federal
25	Express commitments are, but it seems that if Mr. Lynch

1	is trying to save a couple of dollars by afternoon
2	delivery, where if he goes with their priority delivery
3	it will be guaranteed that they are here by 4:00.
4	If he wants to save a few dollars, and in the
5	process we end up getting the documents late or at the
6	close of business that day, I'm going to take the order
7	the way it stands, and I'm going to oppose anything
8	that I don't get by 4:00.
9	So I'm warning him not to try to save a few
10	bucks with Federal Express, because Federal Express
11	if he gets it out tomorrow, we'll have it by 9:00 or
12	10:00 in the morning under their priority category.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, okay. Mr.
14	Tillotson is going to stick to the letter of the law on
15	this one. As I say, anything that you all work out
16	amongst yourself is fine with me. But since it's not
17	going to be worked out amongst yourselves, you have a
18	4:00 p.m. deadline on July 16th.
19	MR. LYNCH: Okay. I think I'll spend the
20	extra dollars then, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Get it you
22	don't have to do it for me. If I get these things on
23	Friday, I don't care. It's Mr. Tillotson, Ms. Laden,
24	and Mr. Schonman that you have to be concerned about

for Thursday.

25